	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/273,164	ROBERTS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacob Cheu	1641
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Jacob Cheu</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Mr. Hanson</u> .	(4)	:
Date of Interview: 21 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: Applicant agreed to amend the instant claims by adding PAGs to the claim 1 and submit supplement 1.132 declaration in support of the functions of the PAGs in the early pregancy detection.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.	e examiner will provide a writte	en summary of the substance
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature - if appropriate)